

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/385,812 | | TAYLOR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Vy Q. Bui | | 3773 | |

All Participants:

(1) Vy Q. Bui.

(2) Alan W. Cannon.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double patenting rejection over 5,730,757 and 5,944,736

Claims discussed:

independent claims 115, 122, 126

Prior art documents discussed:

Ferrari-5,875,782

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:20AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's Attorney pointed out that the priority date of the claims in the present invention was prior to Ferrari-5,875,782. The Examiner indicated that the scope of the independent claims in the present invention covers the scope of some of the claims in US Pat. 5,730,757 and 5,944,736, a "Terminal Disclaimer" should be filed for the present application over these two patents.